



Evaluation Scheme Result ISU National Assembly 22-24 September 2006

The intention behind this scheme is for the National Administration to help improve the national assemblies. A total of 12 evaluation schemes were sent in, and this is the verdict of the autumn 06 NA. We appreciate your opinions, this will be a great help for organising the spring 07 assembly!

The answering options were ranked from 1-5; **1 being lousy and 5 being superb**. For questions 6 and 11, the answering options had fallen out, and number 17 fell out of the schedule. Comments are gathered at the end☺

Case Papers and reports

1. Invitation/information before the NA	3.9
2. The timeplan	3.2
3. The agenda	3.8
4. President's report	3.8
5. Secretary's report	4.3
6. NSU report	
7. Financial report	3.9
8. Plan 2007	3.8

Hospitality:

9. Travel arrangements	4.7
10. Hostel	4.6
11. Directions to hostel	
12. Stortinget visit	4.8
13. Friday dinner	4.5
14. Saturday dinner	4.7
15. Breakfasts/Lunches	4.5
16. Snacks	4.1

Guest Speakers/workshops

17. Jan Paul Brekke (researcher)	
18. Utrop (media)	4.2
19. Famara Sanyang (ISU 1994-95)	4.0

Political/Academic cases

20. Academic Review	4.0
21. Session on past NA resolution	4.0
22. Session on cases forwarded by branches	3.8

Branch reports

22. The time for each branch to present	3.6
23. Guidelines for branch reports	3.6
24. Which was the BEST of the branch reports?	

NTNU voted marginally best, UiO and Bodø second

General Suggestions

- Sticking to the time plan
- Better air conditioning so that people last longer
- A sandwich for the trip home
- More teambuilding games
- A longer NA with more free time

Thanks a lot folks! Feel proud that you've helped improving the NA's😊